



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,602	07/16/2003	Gerhard Albrecht	028987/52386US	6553
23911 75	590 03/22/2005		EXAMINER	
CROWELL & MORING LLP			DOUGLAS, STEVEN O	
INTELLECTU.	AL PROPERTY GROUP			
P.O. BOX 14300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			3751	

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				$-m_{K}$		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/619,602	ALBRECHT, GERHAR	₹D		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	Steven O. Douglas	3751			
	The MAILING DATE of this communicat	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	ss		
Period fo	• •					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3i SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) de period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutoure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. 195, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi 17 period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI 18 by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rly (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	n 16 July 2003.				
2a)□		☐ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the me	erits is		
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the appl	lication.				
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-	152.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the	cuments have been received.	Application No	ge		
	application from the International			J -		
* (See the attached detailed Office action fo		received.			
Attachmen	• •	_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date			
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC r No(s)/Mail Date <u>02022004</u> .		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)		

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification is lacking any disclosure to support a claim to manufacturing the filler device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language; or

the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 3751

Claims 1-3,5-19 and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zimmer et al.

The Zimmer et al. reference discloses a filler device comprising a closing cap 1 with a centering element (proximate reference num. 9), and a filler neck 2 with a countercentering element (proximate reference num. 22) and a web 13, wherein an end section is defined by the axial length of the tapered portions 16.

In regard to claims 2 and 25, all functional and introductory statements of intended use have been carefully considered but deemed not to impose any structure on the claims distinguishable over the Zimmer et al. reference which is further capable of being used to accommodate oil for filling an engine.

In regard to claim 15, see taper portions 16.

In regard to claims 23 and 24, the methods as claimed would be inherent during the normal use and assemblage of the device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zimmer et al. in view of Ono et al.

In regard to claim 4, the Zimmer et al. reference discloses a filler device (supra) where the centering element has a greater diameter than the countercentering element, but does not Art Unit: 3751

disclose the centering element as having a smaller diameter than the countercentering element. The Ono et al. reference discloses another filler device having a centering element (proximate reference nums. 1 and 11) with a smaller diameter than countercentering element 12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the diameters of the centering and counter centering elements to have the diameter of the centering element to be smaller than that of the countercentering element as, for example, shown by Ono et al., wherein so doing would amount to the mere substitution of one type of centering arrangement for another within the same art that would work equally as well.

In regard to claim 20, the Zimmer et al. reference discloses a filler device (supra) with a side wall sealing arrangement 10, but does not disclose the sealing arrangement as having a surrounding seal at a cap transition area. The Ono et al. reference discloses another filler device having a seal 10 at a transition area of the cap. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the sealing arrangement to have a seal at a transition area of the cap as, for example, shown by Ono et al., wherein so doing would amount to the mere substitution of one type of sealing arrangement for another within the same art that would work equally as well.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Lavender reference pertains to an oil fill tube assembly.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven O. Douglas whose telephone number is (571) 272-4885. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:00-6:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,602

Art Unit: 3751

Page 5

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-247-4197 (toll-free).

Eteven O. Douglas Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

SD 3-17-05